NOVEMBER CHARRETTE – DAY 1, NOVEMBER 11 THEME #3 – Reduced Capacity

Large Group Discussion, prior to break out groups.

- Lower densities than ex. G.P. [General Plan]
- Reduce the C.D. [Central District]
- Reduce around SFR [single family residences] or transition areas
- Reduce in areas where there are less services/transit
- No buildings higher than 3 stories
- Purchase/use vacant lots for open space
- Increase historic districts
- Constrain mobility
- Expansion of existing uses

NOVEMBER CHARRETTE – DAY 1, NOVEMBER 11 THEME #3 – Reduced Capacity

- Expand historic districts not easy to do changes
- Down zone
- Reduce densities
- No net new car trips citywide balancing type of development shaping type of use
- Limiting car capacity (not people)
- Infrastructure capacity
- Conversion of uses
- Reuse/re-adaptive
- Use of rooftops relative to height concerns
- Can grow some, but not as much as in general plan
- Capping growth in single-family areas including second units
- Limiting growth to existing commercial corridors
- Infrastructure (water, electricity, sewer, car trips, etc.) no net new need to establish baseline
- Central District off table for growth
- Increase caps in other specific plan area
- Scale of building/heights way to control caps
- Parks as tool to reduce capacity and park over 710 freeway stub and over freeway east of Lake Ave.
- Lincoln Ave. mixed use 2-3 story
- Emerald Horseshoe -> Arroyo -> AH -> Eaton -> South
- No development in single family area
 - o Protect low density
 - o Flexibility for large lot home (eg. Granny flats)
- Washington Blvd./Lake Ave. greater density/2 stories
- Colorado Blvd. keep as is should Colorado be limited to 2 stories?
- Limit development on SF [single family] areas
- Development on corridors
- Limit type of development on corridors (capacity)
- Colorado Blvd. light industrial 2 stories on East Colorado
- Reducing heights on view corridors
- Protect view sheds