

City of Pasadena

All Saints Church Master Development Plan

Draft Environmental Impact Report

Volume II: Appendices

July 2010



All Saints Church Master Development Plan

Draft Environmental Impact Report

Volume II: Appendices

Prepared by:

City of Pasadena
175 North Garfield Avenue
Pasadena, CA 91101-1704

Prepared with the assistance of:

Rincon Consultants, Inc.
790 East Santa Clara Street
Ventura, California 93001

July 2010

*This report is printed on 50% recycled paper with 10% post-consumer content
and chlorine-free virgin pulp.*

All Saints Church Master Development Plan EIR

Table of Contents

	Page
<i>Volume I</i>	
Executive Summary	ES-1
1.0 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Purpose and Legal Authority	1-1
1.2 Scope and Content	1-2
1.3 Lead, Responsible, and Trustee Agencies	1-6
1.4 Environmental Review Process.....	1-6
2.0 Project Description.....	2-1
2.1 Project Applicant.....	2-1
2.2 Project Location	2-1
2.3 Existing Site Characteristics.....	2-1
2.4 Project Characteristics	2-1
2.5 Projected Construction Schedule	2-6
2.6 Project Objectives	2-21
2.7 Required Approvals	2-22
3.0 Environmental Setting.....	3-1
3.1 Regional Setting	3-1
3.2 Project Site Setting.....	3-1
3.3 Cumulative Development.....	3-2
4.0 Environmental Impact Analysis	4-1
4.1 Aesthetics	4.1-1
4.2 Air Quality/Global Climate Change.....	4.2-1
4.3 Historic Resources.....	4.3-1
4.4 Transportation/Parking.....	4.4-1
4.5 Water Supply	4.5-1
4.6 Land Use and Planning.....	4.6-1
5.0 Other CEQA Required Discussions.....	5-1
5.1 Population and Economic Growth	5-1
5.2 Removal of Obstacles to Growth	5-2
5.3 Irreversible Environmental Effects	5-3
5.4 Issues Found Less Than Significant	5-4
6.0 Alternatives.....	6-1
6.1 No Project Alternative	6-2
6.2 Retain Maryland Hotel Wall Alternative	6-2
6.3 Retain the Maryland Hotel Wall and Relocate Building A Alternative	6-4



6.4 Retain the Maryland Hotel and Rotate Building A (Combine Buildings A& C) Alternative	6-6
6.5 Alternative Site Analysis.....	6-9
6.6 Environmentally Superior Alternative	6-9
 7.0 References and Report Preparers.....	7-1
7.1 References	7-1
7.2 Report Preparers	7-5

List of Figures

Figure 1-1	CEQA Environmental Review Process	1-7
Figure 2-1	Regional Location	2-2
Figure 2-2	Project Site Location	2-3
Figure 2-3	Scenario 1 Site Plan.....	2-7
Figure 2-4	Scenario 1 Ground Floor Plan	2-9
Figure 2-5	Scenario 1 Typical Apartment Level	2-11
Figure 2-6	Scenario 2 Site Plan	2-13
Figure 2-7	Scenario 2 Ground Floor Plan	2-15
Figure 2-8	Scenario 2 Second Level Gymnasium	2-17
Figure 2-9	Subterranean Plan.....	2-19
Figure 4.1-1	View of Surrounding Development	4.1-4
Figure 4.1-2	Project Site Existing Conditions.....	4.1-5
Figure 4.1-3	Views from North Euclid.....	4.1-6
Figure 4.1-4	Scenario 1 - Senior Residential	4.1-9
Figure 4.1-5	Scenario 2 - Youth Recreation.....	4.1-11
Figure 4.1-6	Before and After Massing from Three Different Vantages	4.1-23
Figure 4.1-7	Artistic Renderings	4.1-25
Figure 4.3-1	Civic Center NRHP Historic District and Contributing Buildings.....	4.3-4
Figure 4.3-2	Photographs of Scott Hall and the Maryland Hotel Wall	4.3-7
Figure 4.4-1	Study Area and Intersections	4.4-4
Figure 4.4-2a	Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes.....	4.1-6
Figure 4.4-2b	Existing Sunday Mid-day PHTV	4.1-7
Figure 4.4-3	Existing Bike Rack Locations.....	4.4-10
Figure 4.4-4	Existing Transit Lines.....	4.4-14
Figure 4.4-5	Cumulative Related Projects PHRV	4.4-18
Figure 4.4-6	Cumulative (2013) Without Project PHTV	4.4-19
Figure 4.4-7	Scenario 1 Senior Residential Project Only PHTV	4.4-22
Figure 4.4-8	Scenario 2 Youth Recreation Project Only PHTV	4.4-23
Figure 4.4-9	Scenario 1 Senior Residential Cumulative (2013) + PHTV	4.4-31
Figure 4.4-10	Scenario 2 Youth Recreation Cumulative (2013) + PHTV.....	4.4-32
Figure 4.4-11	Sunday Related Project Mid-day PHTV	4.4-39
Figure 4.4-12	Sunday Cumulative Mid-day PHTV.....	4.4-40
Figure 4.4-13	Sunday Project PHTV Scenario 1.....	4.4-42
Figure 4.4-14	Sunday Project PHTV Scenario 2.....	4.4-43
Figure 4.4-15	Scenario 1 Sunday Cumulative plus Project PHTV	4.4-44
Figure 4.4-16	Scenario 2 Sunday Cumulative plus Project PHTV	4.4-45
Figure 6-1	Alternative 4	6-7



List of Tables

Table ES-1	Summary of Impacts, Mitigation Measures, and Significance After Mitigation.....	ES-5
Table 1-1	Scoping Meeting Comments and EIR Response	1-3
Table 3-1	Planned and Pending Projects in the Site Vicinity.....	3-3
Table 4.2-1	Current Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards	4.2-2
Table 4.2-2	Ambient Air Quality Data	4.2-4
Table 4.2-3	SCAQMD Air Quality Significance Thresholds	4.2-5
Table 4.2-4	SCAQMD LSTs for Construction in SRA-8	4.2-6
Table 4.2-5	Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions.....	4.2-7
Table 4.2-6	Area Source and Operational Emissions	4.2-9
Table 4.2-7	CAPCOA Suggested Quantitative Non-Zero Thresholds for Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	4.2-17
Table 4.2-8	Estimated Electricity Consumption	4.2-18
Table 4.2-9	Estimated Annual Area Source Emissions of Greenhouse Gases.....	4.2-19
Table 4.2-10	Estimated Annual Mobile Emissions of Greenhouse Gases	4.2-20
Table 4.2-11	Combined Annual Emissions of Greenhouse Gases.....	4.2-20
Table 4.2-12	Comparison of VMT and Emissions: Infill versus Greenfield Development	4.2-21
Table 4.2-13	Project Consistency with Applicable Climate Action Team Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Strategies	4.2-22
Table 4.2-14	Project Consistency with Applicable Attorney General Greenhouse Gas Reduction Measures	4.2-27
Table 4.2-15	Project Consistency with Applicable Green City Action Plan Measures	4.2-29
Table 4.3-1	Guideline Vibration Damage Potential Threshold Criteria	4.3-13
Table 4.3-2	Vibration Source Amplitudes for Construction Equipment.....	4.3-19
Table 4.4-1	Level of Service Definitions for Signalized Intersections.....	4.4-5
Table 4.4-2	Existing (2009) Intersection Level of Service Analysis	4.4-8
Table 4.4-3	Existing Daily Roadway Volumes.....	4.4-8
Table 4.4-4	Project Trip Generation Estimates Scenario 1 Senior Residential	4.4-20
Table 4.4-5	Project Trip Generation Estimates Scenario 2 Youth Recreation	4.4-21
Table 4.4-6	Significant Impact Criteria for Pasadena Intersections	4.4-25
Table 4.4-7	Significant Impact Criteria for Pasadena Street Segments.....	4.4-26
Table 4.4-8	Peak Parking Demand Ratios for Weekdays and Sundays	4.4-28
Table 4.4-9	Parking Code Requirements	4.4-29
Table 4.4-10	Scenario 1 Summary of Intersection LOS Analysis.....	4.4-33
Table 4.4-11	Scenario 2 Summary of Intersection LOS Analysis.....	4.4-34
Table 4.4-12	Street Segment Analysis Scenario 1.....	4.4-36
Table 4.4-13	Street Segment Analysis Scenario 2.....	4.4-36
Table 4.4-14	Existing 2009 LOS Sunday Mid-Day Peak Hour	4.4-41
Table 4.4-15	Estimated Sunday Trip Generation Scenario 1 and Scenario 2	4.4-46
Table 4.4-16	Sunday Mid-day peak hour Scenario 1 Summary of Intersection LOS Analysis	4.4-48
Table 4.4-17	Sunday Mid-day peak hour Scenario 2 Summary of Intersection LOS Analysis	4.4-49



Table 4.5-1	PWP Service Area Normal and Single Dry Year Supply and Demand (Acre-Feet/Year)	4.5-2
Table 4.5-2	PWP Service Area Multiple Dry Year Supply and Demand (Acre-Feet/Year)	4.5-3
Table 4.5-3	MWD Supply and Demand (Acre-Feet/Year).....	4.5-8
Table 4.5-4	Estimated Net Increase in Project Water Demand.....	4.5-11
Table 4.6-1	Goals & Planning Concepts Comparison.....	4.6-10
Table 4.6-2	Objectives & Concepts Comparison.....	4.6-11
Table 5-1	Employment and Population Projections.....	5-2
Table 6-1	Key Features of the Alternative	6-1
Table 6-2	Comparison of the Environmental Impacts of Project Alternatives.....	6-10

Volume II

Appendices

- Appendix A: Initial Study and NOP responses
- Appendix B: Air Quality Data
- Appendix C: Traffic Report
- Appendix D: Historic Resources Report
- Appendix E: Land Use and Planning

