

2009 CLG GRANT FINAL REPORT  
CITY OF PASADENA

MULTIPLE PROPERTY DOCUMENTATION FORM: LATE 19<sup>TH</sup>/EARLY 20<sup>TH</sup>  
CENTURY DEVELOPMENT & ARCHITECTURE; NATIONAL REGISTER  
NOMINATIONS & INTENSIVE-LEVEL SURVEY

September 16, 2010

MULTIPLE PROPERTY DOCUMENTATION FORM

A draft of the Multiple Property Documentation Form (MPDF) was submitted to OHP on May 3, 2010. No changes to the document have been requested as of the date of this report.

NATIONAL REGISTER NOMINATIONS

City staff prepared National Register nominations for three historic districts and nine individual properties (a slight deviation from the original grant proposal which was to nominate two historic districts and ten individual properties). The nominated districts and properties represent each of the identified subtypes of the single-family residence property type identified in the MPDF, including high style and vernacular buildings.

Drafts of the National Register nominations were electronically submitted to OHP on September 1, 2010. Printing and submittal of photographs and USGS maps are pending as of the date of this report. We have attempted to contact National Park Service staff to request a determination on an alternative approach to photograph printing, but have not received a response. In the coming week, we will determine the cost of printing the photographs according to the NPS photo policy and make a determination about whether we have sufficient available funds to cover this cost.

SUMMARY OF SURVEY RESULTS

A total of **899** properties were documented, photographed and evaluated as part of this project. City staff is working with CFWebtools to generate PDF documents of the DPR forms for each of these properties and expects to have the documents ready to submit by September 24, 2010. Two Microsoft Excel spreadsheets containing all of the data that will be uploaded to the CHRID are attached to this report.

The following are the results of the survey:

Properties Already Designated

A total of **145** properties from the period of study were already designated historic, either locally or listed in the National Register. These include individually designated properties and properties in historic or landmark districts.

### Properties Nominated for Listing in the National Register

A total of **62** properties were nominated for listing in the National Register as part of this project. Five of these properties were already designated locally. The National Register nominations are described in more detail in the “National Register Nominations” section of this report.

### Properties Determined Eligible for Historic Designation

A total of **104** properties were determined eligible for historic designation, as follows:

- 38 properties are eligible for listing in the National Register (3S Status Code; excluding the nine properties nominated for listing as part of this project which are included in the calculation above)
- 56 properties are eligible for local landmark designation (5S2 Status Code)
- 10 properties are eligible for designation as contributing to an eligible landmark district on Navarro Avenue (Status Code 5D2). The landmark district includes buildings from other time periods and contexts, a total of 25 properties (map attached).

These properties have non-narrative architectural descriptions generated in the field using a handheld device. DPR 523B forms were also created for these properties.

### Properties Determined Ineligible for Historic Designation

A total of **510** properties were determined ineligible for historic designation, as follows:

- 153 properties may warrant special consideration in local planning (Status Code 6L). These properties are generally intact or have minor alterations, but, when compared to the properties determined eligible for historic designation, are not notable examples of a property type identified in the MPDF.
- 357 properties were determined ineligible for historic designation (Status Code 6Z). These properties are generally heavily altered such that they no longer convey their historic significance.

These properties generally do not have full architectural descriptions. Instead, the reasons for being assigned 6L or 6Z status codes (either an indication of alterations or a note about the building’s condition) are included in the description field. DPR 523B forms were not created for these properties.

### Properties Requiring Further Study

A total of **83** properties require further study (Status Code 7N). These properties are generally obscured from street view by landscaping or other structures or are associated with a context not identified in the MPDF (e.g., Arts and Crafts period designs, multi-family buildings, etc.). Because the significance of these structures could not be determined, they were assigned a status code of 7N to ensure that they are not overlooked in the planning process. Similar to the previous category above, these properties generally do not have full architectural descriptions or DPR 523B forms.

## CONCLUSION

A large number of previously unidentified property types and resources were identified and documented. The high quality final products of this work will be exceedingly useful in Pasadena's planning process. We are grateful for OHP's support of historic preservation in Pasadena and trust that the project meets their expectations.

## ATTACHMENTS:

- A. Spreadsheets for CHRID Upload
- B. Map of Potential Navarro Avenue Landmark District
- C. Map of All Surveyed Properties by NRHP Status Code