

City of Pasadena General Plan Survey

Written Comments

Do you have any changes or revisions to the existing or suggested principles, identified in the two previous questions?

15% low income housing on site of each new rental development.
1A is so general and comes with so little detail it is impossible to rate. 2B The proliferation of luxury apartment units over the past 5 years is scandalous. We need housing for young people, workers, and seniors. Our housing options are very much out of balance in favor of the very wealthy.
2 B: diverse, affordable housing options in proportion to the demand/ need.
Affordable housing and bike lanes
Affordable housing
Affordable housing
Affordable housing
Affordable housing
Affordable housing and far too many homeless families
Affordable housing for for all income, improve schools, Education
Affordable housing for low income more support for poor more public bathrooms.
Affordable housing for low income people
Affordable housing for low income people and more equal rights for people of lower standards.
Affordable housing for low income people, too many parking restrictions, low income people cannot afford to pay fines associated with the high parking costs/fines.
Affordable housing leads to uneducated gangbangers.
Affordable housing should be fore very low income people.
Affordable housing that should be affordable housing for low income residents.
Affordable housing: using what funds to make it affordable?
Affordable senior housing
Better schools and affordable housing

Written Comments (Continued)

Build affordable housing, not upscale condos. No new commerical buildings without parks and green space, trees, bike lanes, and pedestrian areas.
Buying options should be included in the definition of affordable housing.
Citizens are too broke to pay for the environment, schools, and housing.
Discourage chain stores and encourage small, local businesses. Continue the practice of requiring builders to include some low-income housing as part of their developments. Discontinue the practice of letting builders pay a penalty if they do not include low-income housing as part of their developments " CoP just DOES NOT KNOW WHAT TO DO WITH ALL THAT COLLECTED MONEY! And then the City does not get any low-income housing. The North Fair Oaks project is just a drop in the bucket, as is th
Diverse, affordable housing, is market driven, placing low income housing in expensive areas eventually drives the prices of the low income housing up to achieve parity. Improved public schools require parent participation, access to neighborhood schools. The busing experiment decimated the quality of schools and led to the increase in the number of private schools. kids don't gain by being bused far from their neighborhoods and the parents don't get involved with the schools.
Do not displace families and keep them in Pasadena. Affordable housing (low income families should be able to purchase a home at \$20,000 and should not be left to only rent)
Do something about traffic, more affordable housing
Don't support section 8 housing and don't know if the school district can improve to the point of vibrant.
Economic vitality and diversity is important, however affordable housing will be provided for labor poor.
Economy and jobs important. Not environmental stuff. have plenty of housing for poor people. What about housing for working people? Education is good everywhere EXCEPT public schools. How about including private schools in that one? Pasadena residents will be able to get an excellent education here.
Emphasis on affordable housing for all and strong support for public schools.
Have a policy of no net loss of affordable housing; no more down zoning and upzoning where appropriate in such a way that affordable housing can be built along the transportation corridors, including, bus lines; policies that make it unacceptable to evict Pasadena vulnerable seniors (from the Pasadena Manor,) without adequate notice or relocation benefits. Have a living wage ordinance that applies much more broadly than just those projects where the city has contributed funds. Adding concept
Housing opportunities for low income residents
Housing, housing and more housing for the working class. We have enough lofts/condos. West Pas will be developed less ghetto-ized with stores, employment ops...

Written Comments (Continued)

Housing, treatment between rich and poor in housing and other projects, as of old Pasadena was made just for preppy whites and did not share with the community which was there first.
I suppose you realize equality, race has not been mentioned especially in low income housing availability
If you are going to focus on affordable housing it needs to be spread throughout the City and not consistently being pushed of on the Northeast area of Pasadena
Just want to re-emphasize my support for affordable housing, particularly for the very low and low income; and support for affordable rental housing as a key element in achieving a healthy balance of housing type.
Keep the housing base as close to the existing number as possible, make sure all future housing has ample parking. Include small retail in all larger developments. Get rid of compact parking spaces. Parking should be a standard size. Build residential at existing transit stops. Stop over charging for building permits and expedite completed building plans. For a project to take more than 6months for a building permitt shows a planning department that lacks leadership and competence.
Less emphasis on low income housing and "social equity".
Low income housing needs to be minimized to address gang and burglary concerns
lower cost (more affordable) housing both in rentals and purchases. No "Big Box" stores; increased farmers markets/local grown food co-ops.
Maintain the population at the level that is currently at, do not displace families and offer more affordable housing and better schools
More affordable housing, less people and traffic
More affordable senior housing
More housing for the middle class
More jobs and housing
More low coast housing for senoirs
More low income housing
need more affordable housing, not high end pricy housing, Pasadena is a great community - how is it that our public schools are not the same? We need to help them improve (not by privatizing but by public support)
No displacement of low income households. No net loss of affordable housing units. Jobs will pay wages so low income families can afford to stay in Pasadena. 90% of new affordable should be for households making \$20,000 or less.
No housing for convicted drug addicts, chronically unemployed, anyone who collects unearned tax credit income.
No increase in housing - no increase in offices

Written Comments (Continued)

No more low income housing
No more luxury condos and apartments. More affordable housing for low income and students.
Pasadena currently has too much housing in town; poorly constructed "condos" are all over the place. Also, way too much "affordable housing".
People should not be displaced and housing should be available for families with children in public schools
Provide housing path for families that move out of rentals or condos as their size grows. Transit is a problem.
Reduce class room sizes in the schools and create more affordable housing
Schools and affordable housing should be top priorities .
Social justice for the underprivileged, low income housing, and improved social services around neighborhood villages.
Sustainability means too many different things to different people. Too open to interpretation. We have enough housing for those who live here. We need housing for the middle-level professionals we want to be here. For education should be a broad principle: Pasadena values excellent educational opportunities at all levels for all people.
The city has already promoted too many ugly architectural affordable housing.
The cost of public housing is too great and needs to be reduced, in scope, section 8 only, sell all city owned housing off, get out of all other housing partnerships. The homeless are taking over the city, follow the lead of other cities and do everything to regain control of this problem, parts of Pasadena look like "Skid Row."
There should be a greatly increased emphasis on the development of housing for low and medium income persons, and a drastically reduced emphasis on luxury condos and housing in central Pasadena. We don't need more of these huge complexes! Also need much more parking near Gold Line stations -- currently very inadequate.
To preserve racial and economic diversity of Pasadena 5000+ African American have left due to lack of affordable housing, good public education, and jobs that pay a living wage.
Too much affordable housing now. Do we need to import more poor people? What about Caltech? Something about all education, not just PUSD would work.
Too much new housing and traffic is out of control.
We have plenty of poor people already. Don't need more low income housing. Need housing for teachers, police, workers. Education should not focus on PUSD. Should be broader like: Pasadena supports quality education at all level and for all persons.
We need to improve housing and schools
When Pasadena supports low-income housing, it always seems to be high-density housing. We need less high-density housing. Pasadena is too crammed as it is now, after building all those condos/townhouses in the downtown and south of downtown areas.

Written Comments (Continued)

While Pasadena should work towards providing a City for all to enjoy. Reaching down to offer low income housing does nothing to enhance the city. Families work hard to live in this town and residency should not be given away to those who do not value our city and the privilege to live here.

WHY DONT YOU OCCUPY THE EXCISTING HOUSING IN DEL MAR STATION AND THE STUART HOUSEING BEFORE BUILDING ADDITIONAL EYESORES ELSEWHERE.

You need to focus on bringing people to this beautiful city. Not focus on helping the poor get cheap housing. Focus on building businesses. Make certain areas of Pasadena Tax Free Zones. A better business climate equates with a better city. If you always focus on the needs of the poor, you will get nowhere. It is a waste of money to help them. They have always been and always will be poor. They do not want to help themselves.